

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,617	AHMAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Igor Kershteyn	<b>Art Unit</b> 3745	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Igor Kershteyn. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Musone (Reg. No. 44,961). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 April 2008 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached to amend the abstract to exclude the legal phraseology (See Examiner's amendment)*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)